

Article



Social Media + Society April-June 2019: I-12 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2056305118821801 journals.sagepub.com/home/sms



Feeling the Chill: Bersih 2.0, State Censorship, and "Networked Affect" on Malaysian Social Media 2012-2018

Amelia Johns¹ and Niki Cheong²

Abstract

In 2007, Bersih—a Malaysian social movement for "clean and fair elections"—rode a wave of anti-government sentiment to mobilize 40,000 citizens to take to the streets. In particular, young Malaysians, fueled by "outrage and hope" at old oligarchies and lives put on hold by economic, social, and political inequality, were key actors in Bersih rallies staged between 2011 and 2016, driven by social media platforms and networked publics, which enabled the enthusiasm of the streets to connect with and drive the movement's online formation. In response, the then government began to use media and security laws to disrupt digital networks and engage in arrest of activists and "ordinary" citizens. This, combined with allegations that the government has used astroturfing (commonly referred to as "cybertrooping" in Malaysia) to shape voter sentiments and suppress the momentum of Bersih and popular forms of online political dissent, has been strongly condemned by human rights organizations. This article draws upon findings from PhD research on cybertroopers and ethnographic interviews conducted with 29 Malaysian-Chinese youth between 2016 and 2018 (in Kuala Lumpur and Melbourne, Australia) to map and analyze the effects of the government's use of "affective techniques" to manipulate social media publics and crackdown on online political communication, with media reports connecting these strategies to declining participation in Bersih's street rallies from Bersih 4-5. The article will draw on theories of "networked affect" and "affective publics" to examine the role affect has played in this downturn in participation and a growing sense of hopelessness among Malaysia's digital citizens.

Keywords

networked affect, networked publics, social media politics, networked social movements, state surveillance

Introduction

In 2007, Malaysian protest movement, Bersih, mobilized 40,000 citizens to take to the streets of Kuala Lumpur, demanding clean and fair elections. In a country that, to that date, had the longest serving ruling party in any democratic country in the world (Barisan Nasional [BN]), it was alleged that electoral irregularities and political corruption were hampering genuine, representative democracy.

The *Bersih* street protest—organized by opposition party leaders and non-governmental organizations (NGOs)—was supported by the actions of bloggers and citizen journalists online who were credited as having a major influence on Bersih's rise. The support of bloggers was believed to be a factor in the 2008 election result, a political "tsunami" where the ruling coalition failed to obtain a two-thirds majority for the first time since 1973 (Mohd-Sani and Wahid, 2016; Postill, 2014; Weiss, 2012). From 2011 to 2015, Bersih staged three further rallies where supporter numbers swelled to the hundreds of thousands, with

organizers using Twitter hashtags (#bersih, #bersihstories) and Facebook to coordinate grassroots campaigns for more accountable democracy.

This connects with scholarship on digital activism and networked social movements (NSMs, see Castells, 2015; Donovan, 2018), highlighting the affordances of digital technologies and social media platforms for *connecting* citizens and mobilizing protest publics. Some of this scholarship, which has investigated case studies from the Arab Spring (M. Lim, 2012; Tufecki & Wilson, 2012) to Occupy (Donovan, 2018), Indignados (Gerbaudo, 2012; Siapera, 2016), and the Umbrella movement (Lee & Chan, 2016) link

¹University of Technology Sydney, Australia ²University of Nottingham, UK

Corresponding Author:

Amelia Johns, School of Communication, University of Technology, Ultimo, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 2049. Email: Amelia.Johns@uts.edu.au



the success of social movements to their capacity to "shape repertoires of contention, frame the issues, propagate unifying symbols, and transform online activism to offline protest" via social media (M. Lim, 2012, p. 231). Among arguments qualifying and in some cases contesting these claims, the role of emotion and affect is often highlighted (Castells, 2015; Jasper, 1998). However, there remains a neglect of non-representational theories of affect and their importance to understanding digital and social media technologies and human entanglements with digital technologies, which hasten or arrest social movements. In this article, we draw upon non-representational theories of affect (Dean, 2010; Hillis, Paasonen, & Petit, 2015; Kuntsman, 2012; Papacharissi, 2015, 2016) to highlight how the logic and drives of networks, platforms, algorithms, interfaces, the meanings users inscribe into these technologies and their bodily capacities to react to and be "moved by" these engagements, drive NSMs forward.

Nonetheless, participation in NSMs has also invited skepticism from theorists who argue that participation can occur with only a casual interest in the event—what Gladwell refers to as "slacktivism"—which makes sustaining the initial mobilization challenging (Tufecki, 2017). There has also been a return in the scholarship to Morozov's claims that rather than democratizing media and empowering everyday citizens, social media more easily lend themselves to manipulation and repression by authoritarian states, corporate platforms, and socially divisive actors (Morozov, 2011). Much of this literature concentrates on government laws to interdict speech, or blocking and filtering technologies to disrupt digital infrastructure, interrupting vital flows of communication between organizers and protesters (Howard & Hussein, 2013; Khazraee & Losey, 2016; Tufecki, 2017). There is also a growing focus on more subtle techniques adopted by states to manipulate "affective publics" (Papacharissi, 2015, 2016), that is, through trolls and misinformation campaigns (Bradshaw & Howard, 2017; Kelly, Truong, Shahbaz, Earp, & White, 2017; Woolley & Howard, 2017), affecting capacities for "informed citizenship" and the functioning of democracy.

The Malaysian state response to *Bersih* provides a powerful example of these techniques, as the findings presented here show. The article draws from data collected in two ongoing projects: The Malaysian Digital Citizenship Project (author 1) and research into "cybertroopers" on Malaysian social media (author 2). The case study analysis provides insight into the former Malaysian government's use of affective techniques to manipulate and control social media discourses and publics, including a strengthening of Malaysia's sedition laws and the Communication and Multimedia Act to censor political speech online, producing "chilling effects," while an analysis of the "cybertrooping" phenomenon on Malaysian Twitter, particularly attempting to suppress the Bersih movement, will provide insight into the use of paid actors to manipulate political discussion on social media, generating uncertainty and confusion.

The contribution the article makes to scholarship is twofold. First, it advances understanding of the relationship between affective publics, NSMs, and authoritarian state power via a case study not often represented in research (the Malaysian Internet and the *Bersih* social movement). Second, it draws upon non-representational theories of affect to identify the way states "modulate" the mood of citizens via interventions designed to freeze, chill, and depress participation in social movements, often beyond cognitive awareness (Dean, 2010, 2015; Massumi, 2005, 2010; Penney, 2010). Nonetheless, as the recent election defeat of BN in May 2018 shows, power is fluid and changeable in the hybrid media system (Chadwick, 2013). While social media is now more often than not identified with the amplification and spread of far-right politics and authoritarianism, alternatives continue to emerge. This article examines the "freezing" techniques employed by the Malaysian state 2008–2018, up until the election defeat while also offering brief insights into the repertoires of action activists used to redirect communications to keep the Bersih movement and its spirit for reform alive in the same time period.

Affective Social Movements, State Interference, and the Freezing of Public Participation

Mobilization, Connection, and Stuckness

In theories of the public sphere when private individuals enter the "public" realm and deliberate over issues, this is said to produce "the public" as a collective voice, capable of holding state authority to account (Habermas, 1989). Recently, however, public sphere theories have become a counterpoint to thinking about what forms of power matter in an era of deepening globalization and digital connectivity, with digital technologies, social media platforms and their human and non-human interactions shaping new communication worlds and politics that are more fragmented, personalized, transnational, "connective," and affective (Bennett & Segerberg, 2012; Papacharissi, 2015, 2016).

Theorists of NSMs have argued that this empowers social movements who have capitalized on social media's network effects, connecting citizens to political decision-making in a context of growing mistrust of parliamentary democracy (Vromen, 2017). In this vein, Bennett and Segerberg (2012) claim that the logic of "collective action," which typified social movements in the 1960s and 1970s, and which focused resources on collective identity formation, issues framing and co-ordination, has been replaced by "connective action" driven by "personalised content shared across networks" (see also F. Lee & Chan, 2016; Papacharissi, 2016). Notwithstanding valid criticisms arguing for the continued significance of collective identity to social movements (Gerbaudo & Trere, 2015), Bennett, Segerberg, and Walker (2014, p. 234) have identified that loose networks of participants who interact with NSMs through content production,

curation (bringing visibility to important content via the retweet, @mention functions and favoriting functions), and dynamic integration enable organizers to coordinate protest activities through a lighter touch (see also Lee & Chan, 2016; Postill, 2014; Tufecki & Wilson, 2012).

Nonetheless, in some cases, the role of organizers is more instrumental, "gaming" platform algorithms, that is, utilizing keywords in hashtags to maximize visibility and virality of messages (Bruns, Highfield, & Burgess, 2013; Donovan, 2018; Postill, 2014). Relating this to *Bersih*, Postill (2014) has argued that marketing campaigns focused on shaping "new discourses of identity and belonging" were enabled through the #bersih and #bersihstories hashtag (Khoo, 2013; Postill, 2014), strengthening social ties between networked citizens and helping to mobilize crowds in the hundreds and thousands at street rallies. Success was also counted by the diversity of publics mobilized. Scholars have described how the #bersihstories hashtag enabled digital stories to be uploaded, shared, and curated via the hashtag, brokering connections that bridged ethnic, class, and racial fractures, shaping new "transethnic solidarities" (Khoo, 2013; Postill, 2014; Weiss, 2012). This is important in the Malaysian context, given that social movements had previously tended to be formed through ethnic or religious organizations, thus failing to challenge a political system where racial and religious polemics (i.e., playing ethnic groups off against one another) was seen to maintain the ruling party's political power (Weiss, 2012).

Postill also draws on the affective dimensions of participation, arguing that the explosion of digital activism that occurred in Malaysia in the late 1990s was triggered by two developments: (1) the decision of the state to invest heavily in broadband and digital technologies to drive foreign investment, which opened up the Internet to a generation of bloggers, activists, and citizen journalists and (2) indignation at high levels of political corruption in the country, which hampered democracy (Postill, 2014). This indicates that, beyond digital and social media's *connective* and *mobilizing* aspects, affect and emotion were central to Bersih, bringing it in line with the global wave of protests analyzed by Castells (2015). Viewing emotion and affect as a driver of social movement participation is consistent with sociological approaches identifying emotions (often of indignation, fueled by a sense of social depravation or injustice) as factors that enable individuals to overcome fear or apathy to participate in protest (Jasper, 1998; Melucci, 1996). Some scholars also highlight how emotions such as anger act as accelerators or amplifiers of protest (Van Stekelenburg & Klandermans, 2013, p. 892). Nonetheless, there is less regard for non-subjective, pre-personal, and non-representational understandings of affect in this literature, despite its suitability in analyzing humanmachinic agencies that are increasingly shaping social and political arrangements of power in a digital era.

This is well captured in *Networked Affect*, where Hillis et al. (2015) examine how digital networks and social media

enable new modes of participation, and new public formations, underpinned by desire for intensity, instantaneity of connection, emotionality of expression, and provocation "rather than a desire for negotiation" (Paasonen, 2015, p. 33; Papacharissi, 2015, 2016). In the introduction of the collection, the editors frame their definition of affect around theories rooted within phenomenological traditions, that is, referring to bodily capacities to "affect and be affected" in encounters with other bodies, machines, and force relations (Massumi, 2002), resulting "in increases or decreases of our potential to act" (Hillis et al., 2015, p. 6, see also Gregg & Seigworth, 2010). In its relationship to NSMs, affect is often thought of as a pre-personal "intensity" and "drive" which is amplified and channeled through interactions with digital and social media in a manner which has the capacity to "stir social action" (Hillis et al., 2015, p. 3).

Taking account of these more than human forms of agency, Papacharissi (2015, 2016) refers to the swarms of participants engaging in topics trending on Twitter, or using hashtags, RT, and @mention functions, like buttons and so on, to boost the messages of NSMs as affective publics, assembled around/by platforms that "invite affective attunement, support affective investment, and propagate affectively charged expression" (Papacharissi, 2016, p. 2). With reference to hashtags used in the Occupy and Arab Spring movements, this allowed individuals to "feel their way" into the movement, with the "phatic," affective "refrain" of content tweeted and then retweeted building a sense of momentum and inevitability: "repetition reinforced the affective pace of the movement online, producing and reproducing the collaborative chant of a revolution, well before one could process whether what was going on was actually a revolution" (Papacharissi, 2016, p. 12). This suggests that rational calculations are often secondary to pre-emotional, bodily reactions that arise from being wired into a socially mediated event. But Papacharissi (2015) also advises that this participation can have ambivalent political effects:

At times of collaborative mobilization, affect can sustain a feeling of community that can reflexively drive a movement forward, or entrap it in a state of engaged passivity. (p. 12)

Jodi Dean's (2010) Affective Networks captures the latter trend by observing that politically engaged publics on social media are held in the thrall of its circulatory drives and "feedback loops". This is because social media platforms are a part of a "circuit of communicative capitalism" designed to keep users stuck in a perpetual "loop" of clicking, liking and sharing, thus contributing to the profits of the platform operators, without ever achieving concrete change (see Morozov, 2011). Dean (2010) uses the term "stuckness" to describe this stasis, arguing that affective participation is most closely connected to anxiety, insofar as the compulsive forms of democratic participation social media encourages, the multiple connections that "stir" and mobilize political action are

doomed to forever "miss" their object (political change), instead circling back to feed the "communicative circuits of capitalism" (p. 21).

In a related way, Lauren Berlant (2010) describes the kinds of political depression that can be produced via participation which generates attachment to an object of hope that is forever deferred in her work *Cruel Optimism* (2010) whether it is security and patriotism, as promised by states manufacturing anxiety in the "war on terror" (see below), or investment in social change movements and hope that participation will contribute to new ways of doing politics, while these participatory practices are captured within circuits of communicative capitalism (Dean, 2010).

State Surveillance, Manipulation, and Freezing of Participation in NSMs

Dean adds that it is not just corporations who profit from this circulation of affect. She argues that states are also capable exploiters of affective social media. She particularly identifies how platform algorithms and functions provide the technical means for states to manipulate affective flows of communication through the use of "paid commentators and political bots" that multiply messages favorable to states (see Dean, 2010; Kelly et al., 2017). In analyzing the influence of these "message force multipliers," Dean argues that "repetition itself has an affective impact" (Dean, 2010, p. 26). It persuades and can produce a feeling of authority without need for rational argument and deliberation. By this logic, the more fake Twitter accounts pumping out a negative message about an opposition figure, regardless of the content, will have an effect. This logic has gained terrible clarity in recent times with the use of "cybertroopers" or, in the case of Cambridge Analytica, the use of big data to hack publics, circulate misinformation or biased information, thus manipulating voting publics and election outcomes.

This reinforces counter-views to arguments that digital and social media empower pro-democracy activists who are able to channel affective and intensive media flows to contest the political status quo. Rather these accounts show that the same affordances are also available to authoritarian states and socially divisive political actors to support conservative political agendas. In this vein, scholars have argued that platform enabled practices of racism (Ahmed, 2004), genderbased discrimination, and homophobia (Paasonen, 2015; Sundén & Paasonen, 2018), as expressed in flaming and trolling practices, for example (Bulut & Yörük, 2017; Kuntsman, 2009; McCosker & Johns, 2013; Paasonen, 2015) or the circulation of racist memes (Johns, 2017) also connect and mobilize publics, although often in harmful ways, and with violent political effects. Ahmed (2004) understands that these harms are generated through affective economies where emotions accumulate through the circulation of texts and images, while Kuntsman (2012) highlights how these feelings are "intensified (or muffled) and transformed through digital circulation and repetition" (p. 1).

This link between affect, repetition and movement, is examined from a different angle by theorists examining state strategies to quieten political activists and social movements, that is, via DDoS attacks, or tapping into the data mining operations of platforms to engage in covert surveillance of targeted populations (Howard et al., 2011; Morozov, 2011; Postill, 2014; Tufecki, 2017). This is closely connected to the concept of surveillance capitalism (Dencik & Wahl-Jorgensen, 2017; Zuboff, 2015) which examines the increasing interdependencies of platforms (and their data mining operations) and state-based projects of surveillance and control. These relationships have been examined through case studies examining the Snowden leaks, revealing the capacity of the NSA to access metadata and private communications of citizens via telecommunications providers (Hintz, Dencik, & Wahl-Jorgensen, 2017), as well as state use of digital technologies to intervene in activist communications in Iran (Howard and Hussein, 2013; Khazraee & Losey, 2016) and Egypt (Tufecki, 2017; Tufecki & Wilson, 2012) by shutting down mobile networks or using deep packet inspection systems to slow information flows (Howard and Hussein, 2013, p. 2). These states were also said to be involved in paying informants to spread misinformation or biased information on activist websites and social media (Howard and Hussein, 2013, p. 2). These entanglements have been associated with "greatly enhanced possibilities [for states and private companies to understand, predict and control citizen activities" (Hintz et al., 2017, p. 732), but with negative consequences for civil liberties and democracy, with mass surveillance being understood to produce "chilling effects" on political speech (Penney, 2017).

Pre-empting these new political realities, Massumi and Anderson, in the early 2000s, examined George W Bush's use of mainstream media to "modulate" the circulation and distribution of affects to manufacture fear in support of the "war on terror" (Anderson, 2010, p. 162; Massumi, 2005, 2010). Anderson (2010) argued that states "work in conjunction with the force of affect, intensifying, multiplying, and saturating the material-affective processes through which bodies come into and out of formation" (p. 162), while Massumi (2010) applied the logic to Bush's affective attunement of the public mood, that is, using media to manufacture threat and fear to subdue political unrest and justify pre-emptive war. Dean (2010) also examined Bush's information wars and the force of repetition on shaping public feelings and moods, that is, by use of military "talking heads" deployed on mainstream news networks parroting administration messages (p. 25). This is a point also reflected in reports that the vast number of tweets flowing through Twitter publics are not sent by humans at all but "automated zombies" deployed to influence and manipulate publics through repetition rather than the "force of the better argument" (p. 24).

In this article, we draw upon theories which highlight the affective force of repetition in social media participation, to examine how the intensity of repetition mobilized mass

participation in Bersih, but also contributed to "stuckness," uncertainty, fear, and depression/apathy. We deploy these concepts to show how bodies and speech can be frozen by governmental techniques, drawing upon the force of repetition via the use of paid trolls or "cybertroopers" and the force of laws to silence political speech on social media, both of which, we argue, trigger responses which slow and chill bodies, speech, and participation of individual protesters, but also the collective body of social movements.²

Methodology

Cybertroopers Project

The research of author 2 is organized around the analysis of various data sets, including news articles, emails, and data mined from social media. This article focuses on the analysis of Twitter data mined on 28 April 2012, the day of the Bersih 3 rally, and provides insight into manipulation of information on the Internet in Malaysia through the use of "cybertroopers."

Sample. Using The Archivist Desktop (www.tweetarchivist. com), tweets using the #bersih hashtag—which was used by Bersih 2.0—were collected between 9:28 a.m. and 8:18 p.m. Malaysian time, before the rally began at 2:00 p.m. and several hours after it ended at 4:00 p.m. As with many other Twitter studies, the data set is not fully representative of all relevant tweets sent on that day because (1) other hashtags were also used (e.g. #bersih2, #bersih3, #bersihreport, #bersilstories) or tweets about the rally were sent without a hashtag and (2) Twitter API rules and the unknown algorithms of analytics tools make it impossible to know exactly which tweets were collected, what was excluded, and how many there were in total. However, the nature of the analysis conducted here—in this specific case, looking for patterns involving duplicate tweets-mean that the limitations listed above do not significantly affect the results of the study.

Analysis. The object of the analysis was to identify patterns of tweets where the content was similar or the same, but where the messages were sent from multiple user accounts. To identify these tweets, the data were cleaned and duplicate tweets—identified through Twitter IDs which are unique to each tweet—were removed using Microsoft Excel. This left 54,790 tweets in the data sample for analysis. The removal of duplicate tweets was essential to ensure that any other further duplicates found in the data sample—specifically, with the content of the tweets—could be analyzed for instances of "cybertrooping," the common term for trolls engaged by political parties and other political organizations to intervene in Twitter or other social media debates to spread messages favorable to the party, or to discredit the opposition. This analysis is designed to identify likely patterns which show the existence of organized efforts to manipulate social media

publics, including tweets that are duplicates, to be disseminated by multiple persons. These tweets—or multiple messages—were found through a search for "Duplicate Values" through Excel's Conditional Formatting feature. A thematic analysis of the tweets was then conducted to identify patterns in the messages, which will be discussed in the Findings section of this article.

Malaysian Digital Citizenship Project

The Malaysian Digital Citizenship Project (author A) provides qualitative insights into the "cybertrooping" phenomenon while also revealing how the force of laws (Communications and Multimedia Act, Sedition Act) produced "chilling effects" on political speech and action on social media, leading, in some cases, to withdrawal from participating in Bersih online campaigns and street protests in 2016.

Participants and Sample. Malaysian-Chinese youth (aged 18–24 years) residing in Kuala Lumpur were chosen as a participant group owing to media and scholarly accounts that Malaysia's two sizable ethnic minority communities (Chinese and Indian Malaysian) experience themselves as second-class citizens as a result of Malaysia's citizenship laws and redistributive policies, which, while aiming to equalize economic and social opportunities between members of the dominant Malay group and other ethnicities, do so by enshrining discriminatory citizenship laws and practices that distribute political rights unevenly. Moreover, scholars have noted the large participation of Malaysian-Chinese youth in Bersih street rallies and in their social media campaigns (Khoo, 2016; Lim, 2016).

Research Phase 1. The first phase of data collection took place between June 2016 and November 2016, in Kuala Lumpur. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 19 young people over a 4-week period. These interviews included use of digital ethnography "scroll back" (see Robards, 2013), "walk through," and "video tour" (Pink et al., 2016) methods, where young people scrolled through their social media profiles to "show" the researcher which platforms and apps they use for different social, political, and leisure activities; the composition of their social networks on these platforms; what forms or modes of civic and political participation they engaged in; and what platform affordances and functions enabled these types of engagement. During this time, author 1 made contact with two Melbourne-based Malaysian students through word of mouth. Both were involved in the organizing committee for the *Bersih 5* rally in Melbourne, staged as part of Global Bersih's transnational activities. They were invited to participate in the study to broaden the field site.

Research Phase 2. Toward the close of 2017, following the Malaysian General Election, follow-up interviews (using

scroll-back and walk through method) were arranged and conducted with five of the participants from Phase 1 of the research (June 2018). In addition, ethnographic interviews were also conducted with eight new participants. This brought the total number of youth participants in the study to 29. The second phase of research used the same questions as the first phase, but modified slightly to address participation in the May 2018 election.

Bersih: Online and in the Streets (2008–2016)

Bersih originally started out as a Joint Action Committee for Electoral Reform made up of opposition political parties, civil society groups, and NGOs, in 2005. Following its official launch a year later, Bersih—which means *clean* in the Malay language—organized its first rally on 10 November 2007, mobilizing thousands of Malaysians to take to the streets calling for electoral reform.

In 2008, the organization dropped its partisan connections to opposition parties and figures and changed its name to Bersih 2.0³—The Coalition for Clean and Fair Elections. However, its demands worked to the advantage of the opposition (Welsh, 2011) and it continued to get strong support from the political parties over the years. Bersih's demands included the cleaning up of the electoral roll, reforming the postal ballot process, use of indelible ink during elections, a minimum of 21 days campaign period and more, ⁴ thus it was reformist rather than radical in its political goals. Nonetheless, for the Bersih 4 rally in 2015, it added a call for the resignation of then-Prime Minister Najib Razak, amid accusations of excessive corruption.

Besides strong support from the opposition parties, Bersih also benefited from the emergence of new media technologies for both organization and mobilization (Pepinsky, 2013). In fact, the choice of name for its relaunch—Bersih 2.0—came from growing recognition of the importance of web 2.0 affordances, particularly blogging culture, to the broadening of the movement's support base. The reliance on such technologies was a matter of political survival and a fight for relevance in a country where the use of the *Sedition Act* and other laws making political and contentious speech a criminal act drove activists and ordinary citizens to either avoid political speech on social media accounts or use alternative means of communicating among themselves.

With Malaysia's mainstream media outlets being controlled by the BN government, Bersih relied on blogs, online news sites, and platforms like Twitter and Facebook to mobilize supporters. Scholars have noted that Bersih's "embeddedness in social media" (Welsh, 2011) allowed for a fostering of sense of community, often across ethnic, religious, and partisan differences, making up what J. B. Y. Lim (2017) calls "a nation that is a crisscrossing of multiple solidarities online" (p. 221). The movement has long moved beyond the geographical borders of Malaysia and, over the

years, have seen the emergence of a "parallel movement" known as Global Bersih with rallies having been held in 85 cities across 35 different countries, organized via Facebook and Google Groups (J. C. H. Lee, 2014, p. 905).

In response to the success of Bersih's online strategy, the government and authorities began to employ their own affective, although less visible, social media strategies. BN's official line was that the Bersih rallies were a threat to peace (Pepinsky, 2013), and this was a consistent with the anti-Bersih messages produced by cybertroopers sympathetic to BN in discussions on social media platforms (discussed later in this article). The Royal Malaysian Police adopted the use of social media platforms in retaliation to staged rallies; in 2011, they released selected videos online and encouraged citizens to inform on rally participants amid accusations of police brutality (Postill, 2014). At the Bersih 3.0 Duduk Bantah (Sit-In) rally in 2012, the police released videos on Twitter showing alleged protestors engaging in unlawful activities. In addition, Bersih has claimed that its website came under DDoS attacks in the days ahead of both its 2011 and 2012 rallies—a tactic that other websites critical of the government such as news portals Malaysiakini and The Sarawak Report had experienced.

The use of these techniques has also been accompanied by increasingly sophisticated methods used by government and non-government actors to manipulate social media publics, in some cases through deliberate disinformation campaigns. In the Malaysian context, this has been said to have been enabled by "cybertroopers," and more recently through social profiling and individualized targeting of political messages. Claims, for example, that BN employed Cambridge Analytica in their 2013 election campaign to win the key battleground seat of Kedah caused alarm among human rights organizations who argued that it enabled political organizations to sabotage democracy through manipulation of election outcomes.

As the case study analysis will show, both the mobilization of public support for Bersih and the counter-strategies used by the state to quell participation in the movement demonstrate that strategic interventions into the circulation of affect on social media are crucial to understanding how social and political arrangements of power are shaped in a digital era, with significant outcomes for how democracy is enabled, performed, and frozen.

Findings

Malaysian Social Media and Cybertroopers: The Force of Repetition and the Production of Uncertainty and Fear

In 2017, Freedom House highlighted online manipulation of information as a major trend globally, contributing to the decline of Internet freedom (Kelly et al., 2017). The report found that elections in at least 17 countries were affected by

manipulation and disinformation strategies (Kelly et al., 2017). More recently, a report from the Computational Propaganda Project, Bradshaw and Howard (2017) referred to "cyber troops"—"government, military or political-party teams committed to manipulating public opinion over social media"—which they found to exist in at least 28 countries worldwide (p. 4). In particular, these investigations extended upon reports that emerged in the wake of the 2016 US Presidential election, where Russian "troll farms" were found to be "spamming" political debate on social media with pro-Trump and anti-Clinton messaging.

Due to the covert nature of cybertrooping, little is known about how it is practiced in Malaysia and the ways in which cybertroopers are mobilized. Nonetheless, their existence is well documented (Tan, 2012; Tapsell, 2013). Former Prime Minister Najib Razak himself acknowledged their existence in a blog post in 2016 (Najib 2016). Ironically, BN, the party that Najib led until the recent election, has long been reported to have engaged cybertroopers. Months before he wrote the blog post, Najib called for the creation of "a new group of soldiers, which are the keyboard warriors" (Hamid, 2015). Members of his own party, including Tun Faisal Ismail Aziz, who headed UMNO Youth's New Media Unit, has explicitly referred to the online team as "cybertroopers" (Tapsell, 2013).

It should come as no surprise that social media has increased the occurrence and influence of cybertroopers, and that they have become active in social media discussion of politically significant events like the *Bersih* rallies. For example, in an analysis of 54,790 tweets collected from Malaysian Twitter during the Bersih 3 rally by, a total of 36 users responsible for sending 1,117 multiple messages were identified as being engaged in cybertrooping-like practices in action.

A thematic analysis of the tweets showed two kinds of messages being sent by the accounts identified. The first were hundreds of messages that, if seen in isolation, come across as genuine deliberative engagement by citizens who opposed the protest. However, when analyzed using a big data analytic approach, this pattern of tweeted messages supports conjecture that the repetitive dissemination of similar scripted messages is part of cybertrooping technique.

As examples, the following tweets, presented verbatim with typos and grammatical errors, were sent by between two and three Twitter accounts found within the data set. Each of the tweets can also be found on Twitter to have been posted by other accounts not found within the data set:

#bersih likes to intimidate PM n govt . . how will they manage Msia then when thats is what they do? reject #bersih now!

#bersih rally is affecting taxi drivers livelyhood! pity they cant make end meets this saturday.maybe sue or claim from #dearambiga

Can we held #dearambiga; Pak Samad and the rest of the committe liable for any loss to others peace loving citizens during #bersih?

The second type of message, of which there were at least 175, were sent from 22 accounts in the data set. These tweets were found to be generated by two to four different accounts. However, unlike the three tweets mentioned above, these follow a particular messaging pattern in terms of how the tweets are structured. As the sample below shows, the tweets tend to start with "joining #bersih means" followed by a reason—usually negative in nature—why people should not join the rally:

joining #bersih means u accept #dearambiga as ur god! an anwar as ur apostle! . . . dont join it!

joining #bersih means u r a sinful person because god forbid people to break the law . . . dont join it!

joining #bersih means u r exposed to negative subversive within the rally that might affect u n ur family . . . dont join it!

To understand and connect this strategy to the politics of affect as it operates through social media, the way in which messages are repeated by cybertroopers is not dissimilar to the "message force multipliers" Dean (2010) refers to in Affective Networks. In reference to George Bush's media campaign during the Iraq war, Dean highlighted how military analysts were used as "message force multipliers" to reinforce government messaging on broadcast news media (e.g., Fox news). To work, this strategy relies on the knowledge that "repetition exerts a force, a compulsion." It is the mere act of the message being repeated and circulated that grants it authority and legitimacy, beyond the credibility of the source or the content itself. Using the metaphor of information "war," Dean (2010) likens these message force multipliers to the role of army troops being deployed into conflict zones, "it implies adding lots of forces, putting more people on the ground or in the air, just as one would send more troops into a situation" (p. 25).

States are able to use cybertroopers as message force multipliers on various platforms, such as Twitter, to disrupt deliberative political discussion, and inflame and polarize debate by using repeated, provocative, or offensive speech and images (Bulut & Yörük, 2017), all of which has the potential to arrest the momentum of social movements like *Bersih*. Contextualizing the tweets presented here within Dean's understanding of message force multipliers allows us to posit that the content of the tweet, or the argument, is not necessarily what is key to its effectiveness; but instead, it is the force of repetition that reinforces a message or sows seeds of uncertainty in the minds of supporters.

This was supported in responses from some participants in the *Malaysia Digital Citizenship Project*, who mentioned how the use of repeated messages or what some participants referred to as "spam" in the context of Bersih events led to information overload and confusion being sowed on platforms like Twitter, contributing to their feeling that it was safer not to participate in political chat or action, or support political actors, on the platform:

I remember last time I did re-tweet something then there was just a load of spam commenting on the thing. (Steven, KL)

Kylie: We don't comment on Twitter . . . anything can happen, it's quite dangerous.

Interviewer: What do you mean dangerous? What could happen?

Arlene: Might get trolled by others, people comment. So we talk about politics offline. It's safer that way. (Interview with two female students, KL)

Dean's assertion that there are two ways in which "message force multipliers" exist is also seen in the examples of cybertrooping we have noted, that is, "the force multiplication of messages" (the duplicate tweets) and "multiplication of message forces" (the number of cybertroopers). The latter point is worth noting because one of the ways in which cybertroopers have been reported to operate is through the creation of sock puppet accounts, that is, online accounts created to deceive; in 2016, a Facebook account sending messages supporting Prime Minister Najib was accused of using a profile picture of someone who had passed away (Buang, 2016). Sock puppet accounts on social media do not just provide a mask for users to hide their identities, their existence also speaks to the current discourse on the use of bots and computational propaganda in the manipulation of online sentiment worldwide (Woolley & Howard, 2017), also commented upon by Dean (2010).

Nonetheless, participants also spoke of the content of the tweets sent by cybertroopers as being emotionally "triggering" for them, leading them to withdraw from participating in political debate. The earlier example illustrates this through tweets referring to *Bersih* as "subversive" or "sinful" which are likely to produce worried or fearful reactions among supporters. They talk about the livelihoods of small business owners, the destruction of Malaysia's future and dishonor to one's family as direct outcomes of participating in *Bersih* rallies and social media campaigns. The following tweets are example of how the emotive content in tweets could cause fear among participants, invoking terms such as "arrest," "traitor" to cause alarm:

DBKL n PDRM (City Hall and Royal Malaysian Police) will have joint operation on #bersih 3.0! tangkap aje n buang negeri pengkhianat2 negara ni! (just arrest and send these traitors to exile⁶)

joining #bersih means u r absolute law breakers since u tend to defy court order!

Playing to the cultural complexities of Malaysian politics, the cybertroopers also used other terms which pathologize and racialize participants in *Bersih* rallies. The following example involves the use of the Malay word "biadap," an extreme form of rudeness or disrespect:

#bersih ni biadap kat PM la . . YDPAgong la . . . now mahkamah pun dia nak biadap jugak . . nak teruskan kat dataran . . ini kerja gila

(#bersih has been disrespectful to the Prime Minister, the King and now the courts as well. They want to continue marching to Dataran Merdeka. This is crazy.)

Moral propriety is particularly invoked where religion is concerned. The following two examples show how notions of sin aligned with Islamic laws, in a country where over 60% of citizens are Muslims, are used to characterize Bersih and its participants as being traitorous, while the second tweet is designed to instill fear of potential arrest:

Tok Guru Nik Aziz should come out with fatwa banning PAS members joining #bersih since it was lead by sinful #dearambiga!

joining #bersih means u r a sinful person because god forbid people to break the law . . dont join it!

The repetition of the term "sin" here could also be seen as an encoded signifier which "dog whistles" to the Malay majority while silencing Malaysia's ethnic minority communities, who are consistently singled out and labeled by BN and Islamic parties as sinners and therefore potentially untrustworthy and traitorous, agreeing with research on the racially divisive and violent political effects of racist trolling (Kuntsman, 2009):

If you're a Malaysian Chinese and you're a minority in Malaysia, and you say things that are against the current status quo, it does endanger you because you just get sidelined into this one big grey group that is called racist, that is questioning the status quo, that is ungrateful, and you are promptly told to go back to your own country. Never mind that we were born here. (Timothy, KL)

The Force of Law: The Sedition Act, Communications and Multimedia Act, and Chilling Effects

Building on the data which shows cybertroopers employed as an "affective technique" of state manipulation during Bersih 3, interviews and ethnographic observation from the Malaysian Digital Citizenship Project provide further insight into a shift in political feelings and capacities to act among project participants. This is highlighted by Bersih's online and offline public mobilizations slowing in the lead up to Bersih 5 held in 2016, as a sense of hopelessness at the failure of the movement to effect change set in. At the same time, the capabilities of the state to mobilize their own crowds and use affective prompts to suppress opposition voices was growing. In addition to cybertroopers, project participants identified the expansion of state surveillance and punishment of online dissent, via the Communications and Multimedia Act and sedition laws, as having produced

"chilling effects" on political speech dampening and slowing individuals' capacities to continue support for *Bersih*.

Sedition Laws Prompting a Chilling Effect on Political Communication

To put claims that sedition laws and the Communications and Multimedia Act were successful in suppressing voices of online dissent into context, Bersih emerged in an era where there was no censoring of online speech or content. The reason for this discrepancy between online and print media, which was censored tightly, can be traced to the launching of the Multimedia Super Corridor (MSC) in 1996 by then-Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamed (Formerly of UMNO, now leader of PH and the current Prime Minister of Malaysia). The initiative was designed to attract multinational IT companies to base themselves in Malaysia. To secure this investment, the government drafted a bill of guarantees, including a provision that the government would "never censor the Internet" (Mohd-Sani & Wahid, 2016). After the "tsunami" election of 2008, however, and with the introduction of social media as a platform that empowered and connected opposition voices and activists (Postill, 2014), many of these freedoms became curbed.

Sedition laws (preventing offensive speech) and article 263 of the *Communications and Multimedia Act* (preventing unlawful use of the network), in particular, have been instrumentalized to target and arrest digital activists and news organizations critical of government since 2008 (Human Rights Watch World Report 2018, 2017). Human rights groups argue that the arrests have produced "chilling effects" on free speech. Participants interviewed confirmed this, describing a general climate of fear, mistrust, and anxiety around what speech may fall under the sedition laws or *Communications and Multimedia Act*, leading to feelings that participating in politics on more publicly visible social media may lead to arrest and even jail time:

On Twitter, there is an increasing trend to use the multimedia communications act to censor political content on social media [. . .] the act says if you're posting and what you've said has offended somebody [. . .] So that's really so broad and it's the basis of a criminal offense. (Charlie, Bersih campaign organizer, KL)

The sedition act is omnipresent. It's always in the background waiting for you to make some sort of statement that you can get hauled away for (Julian, Melbourne)

Participants cited three high-profile cases that directly affected their decision, in some cases, not to post political content on social media. In the first, a teenager living in Johor state was arrested and sentenced to 1 year in prison under Communication and Multimedia laws for posting a "derogatory" comment about Johor royalty on a Facebook group

called "TRW Troll Story" (Editor, 2016). Julian highlighted how this case raised concerns about what could be said in online chatrooms and Facebook groups that were "public":

I mean just recently someone was arrested for making seditious comments about the Prince of Johor; that is proof of there being a sort of invisible wall when you want to say the things that you do. That's going to be a hindrance for absolutely anyone to speak out your mind. (Janine, KL)

Julian admitted that this event led him to disengage from posting contentious political' content to Facebook "walls," public forums or Twitter, and to instead became a "paranoid" user of Telegram (a messenger app using end-to-end encryption). The threat of arrest for engaging in politics on social media led many participants, especially activists who felt their communications were more visible, to "go dark" and use messenger apps employing end-to-end encryption (WhatsApp, Telegram, and Messenger) to engage in political debate.

Platform Switching

The prevalence of WhatsApp or Telegram use to engage in political debate but also to organize "grassroots" activism and political actions was made possible not only by the affordance of end-to-end encryption, which enabled members to evade state surveillance, but also by the group chat function of these apps, which extended affordances of networking, connecting, and experimenting with and testing political views between networks of up to 20 participants. Nonetheless, Julian also disclosed that he did not feel entirely safe engaging in political chat on WhatsApp due to fears that group members could inform on him, a fear shared by another activist Hai Yang:

WhatsApp is quite secure. Just a lot of time we're quite... we're quite caution about who are the members in the chat. Because even though no matter how secure the app is, people can simply do livestream and give it to the police or give it to the administrative of the school. (Hai Yang, KL)

These fears around political WhatsApp groups being monitored by government informers was confirmed in 2016, with the arrest of a man for posting a photo to a WhatsApp group that "insulted" the Prime Minister (Yun, 2016). The man was charged under Section 233 of the *Communications and Multimedia Act*. Three participants mentioned these arrests as contributing toward their unwillingness to post, comment, or even share material online to show support for *Bersih*

Kathy (KL), a young employee in an auditing firm, was advised by her employer not to post any politically contentious views on social media as a condition of her employment. She worried about this clamping down on free speech,

but also felt it was too risky to go against these expectations owing to the high personal cost:

With all this sedition act coming in and then trying to scare us by saying if you start spreading stuff we could prosecute you and things like—it becomes even more like . . . I could just end up in jail . . . I want a change, but how much can I do?

Non-Participation in Bersih 5

In light of these conversations, a number of activists and other interview participants conveyed fears that *Bersih 5* would have the lowest turn-out since its debut in 2007, a fear that seemed to suggest that public confidence in *Bersih* as the main voice of the opposition in Malaysia had weakened and that state control would remain unchallenged:

towards this rally, I would say, for those who are concerned about politics, concerned about the social, they will join. But they are still considered as the minority because in current situation, Malaysians are quite hopeless with that's happening around. They know that probably the nation, our leaders are committing some corruption. But they fear that when they stand up, there's basically nothing they can do . . . I think maybe very few of them will come out. (Hai Yang, KL)

In Skype calls and face-to-face discussions, other participants supported Hai Yang, expressing feelings of cynicism and disinvestment in *Bersih*, owing to the failure of the movement to bring about change:

I do feel passionately about Malaysian politics but I think everyone or at least most of the people I interact with have all reached a state of disappointed but unsurprised. Personally I feel more cynical. I try not to get very emotionally involved anymore. I do what I can when I can but yeah . . . (Anita, KL)

Conclusion

By thinking through questions of how the young people in the study participated in *Bersih*'s networked publics, or retreated after key moments or events, and the impacts on the movement overall, this article has examined how networked affect works to mobilize and sustain social movements online while also being employed strategically by states and socially divisive actors to arrest participation in a movement

In particular, drawing on non-representational theories of affect, the human-machinic agency of "repetition" located in tweets circulating through the affordance of the RT, which was seen to *mobilize and connect* "affective publics" to drive a movement forward (Papacharissi, 2010, 2016), was also recognized as an affect that had been exploited and manipulated by states to slow the momentum of social movements over a longer duration, through the repeated messages of paid trolls which manufactured fear and uncertainty. In reference to the affective outcomes of this slowing

and "stalling of a movement," Dean's examination of how participants can get stuck in endless "feedback loops" of liking and retweeting content showed how repetition can also be linked to feelings of "stuckness" if these movements do not bring about change quickly. As Berlant describes, this can further lead to states of political depression and withdrawal, freezing, and slowing communication flows and political engagement essential for the success of social movements.

In analyzing the political consequences of the state's manipulation of affect and affective publics on social media, through use of cybertroopers, surveillance, and laws that make political dissent punishable, we indicate that stasis and containment as effects of socially mediated biopolitical and affective techniques of state power should be given a higher status in politically engaged research on NSMs. In particular, digital technologies and the wars of affect waged between states and social change movements within and across communities connected via social media, as the findings show, have affective dimensions that highlight how states are able to contain the bodily capacities of individuals and publics through techniques that "chill" speech, freeze bodies, and slow flows of communication and sociality online.

Nonetheless, the findings also show how forms of strategic retreat from engaging in politics on "public facing" social media (SNSs such as Twitter or Facebook) did not necessarily lead to disengagement, but produced creative solutions as citizens and activists used WhatsApp and other encrypted messenger services to evade "surveillance capitalism," allowing new openings for democracy to re-emerge. This concurs with Berlant who warns against misaligning feelings of stalled hope or political depression with "affectlessness, apathy, coolness, cynicism, and so on" (Berlant, 2010, p. 97):

Modes of what might be called detachment . . . are really not detached at all but constitute ongoing relations of sociality.

In this vein, Hai Yang and his friends "going dark" describe a passion to heat up communications frozen or shut down on visible social media, to use alternative apps to create new flows of political speech, activity, and sociality underneath the freeze.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the organisers of the Affective Politics of Social Media conference, held in the Department of Media Studies, University of Turku (Oct, 2017) where an early version of this paper was presented. In particular we would like to thank Susanna Paasonen and Katariina Kyröllä, as well as the presenters and attendees at our session for their questions and feedback. We would also like to offer our thanks and gratitude to Jenny Sundén, Anita Harris, Ariadne Vromen, Jennifer Birks and Andrew Goffey for reading early versions of the article and providing thoughtful feedback and suggestions, as well as the reviewers whose critical insights and suggestions strengthened the final output.

Authors' note

Amelia Johns is now affiliated with School of Communication, University of Technology, Ultimo, Australia.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The author(s) received financial support for the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article from an internal Deakin University grant.

Notes

- Barisan Nasional (BN) had ruled since Malaysian Independence, for a period of 60 years, until its election defeat on 9 May 2018.
- The way we put this concept to work is different to the "tactical freeze" that Tufecki (2017) describes, where she highlights how leaders of movements become "frozen" by the tactical successes of the initial mobilization and don't know what to do beyond this to sustain collective efforts toward political change (p. 77).
- While the Coalition is officially known as Bersih 2.0, the movement is generally known to the public as just Bersih J. C. H. Lee, 2014). In this article, we refer to the movement simply as Bersih, except when referring specifically to the organization.
- 4. http://www.bersih.org/
- UMNO Youth is the youth wing of the United Malays National organization (UMNO), the largest political party in Malaysia.
 Together with the Malaysian Chinese Association (MCA) and the Malaysian Indian Association (MIC), UMNO form the major parties of BN.
- 6. Texts in parentheses are context and translation by authors.
- 7. Pseudonyms used to protect anonymity.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2004). *The cultural politics of emotion*. Edinburgh, UK: Edinburgh University Press.
- Anderson, B. (2010). Modulating the excess of affect. In M. Gregg & G. Seigworth (Eds.), *The affect theory reader* (pp. 161–185). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2012). The logic of connective action. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15, 739–768.
- Bennett, W. L., Segerberg, A., & Walker, S. (2013). Organisation in the Crowd: peer production in large-scale networked protest. *Information, Communication and Society*, 17(2): 232–260.
- Berlant, L. (2010). Cruel optimism. In M. Gregg & G. Seigworth (Eds.), *The affect theory reader* (pp. 93–117). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Bradshaw, S., & Howard, P. N. (2017). *Troops, trolls and trouble-makers: A global inventory of organized social media manipulation*. Retrieved from http://phibetaiota.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Troops-Trolls-and-Troublemakers.pdf
- Bruns, A., Highfield, T., & Burgess, J. (2013). The Arab spring and social media audiences: English and Arabic Twitter users and their networks. *American Behavioral Scientist*, *57*, 871–898.
- Buang, S. (2016, July 29). Lodge a report, minister tells family of deceased whose photo was hijacked. Retrieved from https://www. malaysiakini.com/news/350453#6RL0D5tYM7XkuavV.99/

Bulut, E., & Yörük, E. (2017). Mediatized populisms—Digital populism: Trolls and political polarization of Twitter in Turkey. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 4093–4117.

- Castells, M. (2015). *Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet Age* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Chadwick, A. (2013). *The hybrid media system: Politics and power*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Dean, J. (2010). Affective networks. *Media Tropes eJournal*, 2, 19–44.
- Donovan, J. (2018). After the #Keyword: Eliciting, sustaining, and coordinating participation across the occupy movement. *Social Media & Society*, *4*, 1–12.
- Editor. (2016, June 9). Malaysian teen jailed over Facebook post insulting royalty. *Southeast Asia Globe*. Retrieved from http://sea-globe.com/malaysia-facebook-post-royalty/
- Gerbaudo, P. (2012). Tweets and the streets: Social media and contemporary activism. London, England: Pluto Press.
- Gerbaudo, P., & There, E. (2015). In Search of the "We" of Social Media Activism: introduction to the special issue on social media and protest identities. *Information, Communication and Society*, 18(8): 865–871.
- Gregg, M., & Seigworth, G. J. (2010). *The affect theory reader*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Habermas, J. (1996 [c1989]). The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere: an inquiry into the category of bourgeois society. Cambridge: Polity.
- Hamid, H. (2015, August 9). UMNO needs more keyboard warriors—Najib. Astro Awani. Retrieved from http://english.astroawani.com/politics-news/umno-needs-more-keyboard-warriors-najib-69055
- Hillis, K., Paasonen, S., & Petit, M. (2015). *Networked affect*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Hintz, A., Dencik, L., & Wahl-Jorgensen, K. (2017). Digital citizenship and surveillance society. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 731–739.
- Howard, P. N., & Hussein, M. M. (2013). Democracy's fourth wave? Digital media and the Arab Spring. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Human Rights Watch World Report 2018. (2017). *Malaysia: Events of 2017*. Retrieved from https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2018/country-chapters/malaysia
- Jasper, J. (1998). The emotions of protest: Affective and reactive emotions in and around social movements. Sociological Forum, 13, 397–424.
- Johns, A. (2017). Flagging white nationalism "After Cronulla": From the beach to the net. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 38, 349–364.
- Kelly, S., Truong, M., Shahbaz, A., Earp, M., & White, J. (2017, November). Freedom on the Net 2017: Manipulating social media to undermine democracy. Freedom House. Retrieved from https:// freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/FOTN_2017_Final.pdf
- Khazraee, E., & Losey, J. (2016). Evolving repertoires: Digital media use in contentious politics. *Communication and the Public*, 1, 39–55.
- Khoo, G. C. (2013). Bersih dan Ubah: Citizenship rights, intergenerational togetherness and multicultural unity in Malaysia. In
 D. S. Goh (Ed.), Worlding multiculturalisms: The politics of Inter-Asian Dwelling. London: Routledge.
- Kuntsman, A. (2009). Figurations of violence and belonging: Queerness, migranthood and nationalism in cyberspace and beyond. Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang.

- Kuntsman, A. (2012). Introduction. In A. Karatzogianni & A. Kuntsman (Eds.), *Digital cultures and the politics of emotion: Feelings, affect and technological change* (pp. 1–20). Basing stoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lee, F., & Chan, J. M. (2016). Digital media activities and mode of participation in a protest campaign: a study of the Umbrella Movement. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19, 4–22.
- Lee, J. C. H. (2014). Jom Bersih! Global Bersih and the enactment of Malaysian citizenship in Melbourne. *Citizenship Studies*, *18*, 900–913. doi:10.1080/13621025.2014.964553
- Lim, J. B. Y. (2017). Engendering civil resistance: Social media and mob tactics in Malaysia. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 20, 209–227. doi:10.1177/1367877916683828
- Lim, M. (2012). Clicks, cabs, and coffee houses: Social media and oppositional movements in Egypt, 2004–2011. *Journal of Communication*, 62, 231–248.
- Massumi, B. (2002). *Parables for the virtual: Movement, affect, sensation*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Massumi, B. (2005). Fear (The Spectrum Said). *Positions*, 13, 31–48.
- Massumi, B. (2010). The future birth of the affective fact: The political ontology of threat. In M. Gregg & G. Seigworth (Eds.), *The affect theory reader* (pp. 52–70). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- McCosker, A., & Johns, A. (2013). Productive provocations: Vitriolic media, spaces of protest and agonistic outrage in the 2011 UK riots. *Fibreculture*, *22*, 171–193.
- Melucci, A. (1996). *Challenging codes: Collective action in the information Age.* New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mohd-Sani, M., & Wahid, M. (2016). Freedom of the Internet in Malaysia. *The Social Sciences*, 11: 1343–1349.
- Morozov, E. (2011). The Net delusion: The dark side of Internet freedom. New York, NY: Public Affairs.
- Najib, R. (2016, February 26). *Netizens for the greater good*. Retrieved from https://www.najibrazak.com/en/blog/netizens-for-the-greater-good/
- Paasonen, S. (2015). 'A Midsummer's Bonfire: affective intensities of online debate'. In K. Hillis, S. Paasonen & M. Petit, (Eds.), MIT press.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2015). Affective publics: Sentiment, technologies and politics. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2016). Affective publics and structures of storytelling: Sentiment, events, mediality. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19, 307–324.
- Penney, J.W. (2016). Chilling effects: online surveillance and wikipedia use. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 31(1): 117.
- Penney, J. W. (2017). Internet surveillance, regulation, and chilling effects online: A comparative case study. *Internet Policy Review: Journal on Internet Regulation*, 6(2). Retrieved from https://policyreview.info/articles/analysis/internet-surveillance-regulation-and-chilling-effects-online-comparative-case
- Pepinsky, T. B. (2013). The new media and Malaysian politics in historical perspective. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 35, 83–103. doi:10.1355/cs35-1d
- Pink, S., Horst, H., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., & Tacchi, J. (2016). Digital ethnography: Principles and practice. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE.
- Postill, J. (2014). A critical history of Internet activism and social protest in Malaysia 1998–2011. *Asiascape: Digital Asia Journal*, *1*–2, 78–103.

Robards, B. (2013). Friending participants: Managing the researcher–participant relationship on social network sites. *Young*, *21*(3), 217–235.

- Siapera, E. (2016). Digital citizen X: X-net and the radicalisation of citizenship. In A. McCosker, S. Vivienne, & A. Johns (Eds.), Negotiating digital citizenship: Control, contest, culture (pp. 97–114). London, England: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Sundén, J., & Paasonen, S. (2018). Shameless hags and tolerance whores: Feminist resistance and the affective circuits of online hate. *Feminist Media Studies*, 18, 643–656.
- Tan, K. (2012, January 20). Cybertroopers, social media and the state of media in Malaysia. BFM 89.9 [Audio Podcast]. Retrieved from https://www.bfm.my/current-affairs-190112-cyber troopers-tun-faisal-hishamudin-rais.html
- Tapsell, R. (2013). Negotiating media "Balance" in Malaysia's 2013 general election. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 32(2), 39–60.
- Tufecki, Z. (2017). Twitter and the tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Tufecki, Z., & Wilson, C. (2012). Social Media and the decision to participate in political protest: observations from tahrir square. *Journal of Communication*, 62(2): 363–379.
- Van Stekelenburg, J., & Klandermans, B. (2013). The social psychology of protest. *Current Sociology Review*, 61, 886–905.
- Vromen, A. (2017). Digital citizenship and political engagement: The challenge from online campaigning and advocacy organisations. London, England: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Weiss, M. (2012). Politics in cyberspace: New media in Malaysia.
 Berlin, Germany: fesmedia Asia. Retrieved from http://library.fes.de/pdf-files/iez/09068.pdf
- Welsh, B. (2011). People power in Malaysia: Bersih rally and its aftermath. *Asia Pacific Bulletin*, 128. Retrieved from EastWestCenter.org/APB
- Woolley, S. C., & Howard, P. (2017). Computational propaganda. Retrieved from http://comprop.oii.ox.ac.uk/publishing/working-papers/computational-propaganda-worldwide-executive-summary/
- Yun, Y. X. (2016, July 2). Man arrested for posting picture insulting PM in WhatsApp group. *The Star Online*. Retrieved from https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2016/07/02/man-arrested-picture-whatsapp/
- Zuboff, S. (2015). Big other: Surveillance capitalism and the prospects of an information civilization. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30, 75–89.

Author Biographies

- Amelia Johns is a senior lecturer in Digital and Social Media based at the University of Technology Sydney, School of Communication. She is currently working on two research projects, one on Global Digital Citizenship and Diaspora Youth has been funded by the Australia Research Council. The other, looking at political polarisation and disinformation on WhatsApp in Singapore and Malaysia, is funded by Facebook's Integrity Foundational Research Award.
- Niki Cheong is a PhD researcher based at the University of Nottingham, UK, investigating the activities cybertroopers engage in on social media in Malaysia's political sphere. He is currently also researching the weaponising of popular culture on Whatsapp in Singapore and Malaysia, funded by the Facebook Integrity Foundational Research Award. Niki was formerly editor of *R.AGE*, the youth platform for Malaysia's largest English daily, *The Star*.