File System Implementation

Operating Systems Baochun Li University of Toronto

Objective: Virtualizing Persistent Storage

The file system provides the mechanism for on-line storage and access to file contents, and resides permanently on nonvolatile secondary storage.

Organized as an array of sectors, each 512 bytes

- Address space: the sector number, from 0 to n 1
- Writing a single sector is guaranteed to be atomic
- **File systems usually combine multiple sectors into a single block — say, 4KB in size**

Hard Disk Drives !"#\$%&'()'*+,

Disks have multiple platters

Each platter has an arm and a head Γ a alo in lattax laga are away are also has

Different heads can access data in parallel 1 2(3'&/-(..*0&'(\$&(4&(0+&(45&(&'*(5 1 6'*&5#77*0*4.&'*(5\$&3(4&(33*\$\$&5(.(&/(0(--*-

Each platter has multiple concentric tracks auli piattel 11as liiultipie concentritu kaussa

Same set of tracks across all platters is a cylinder Each track has multiple sectors

!

Rotational delay and seek time

File Storage on Disk

Sector 0: "Master Boot Record" (MBR): contains the partition map

Rest of disk divided into "partitions"

Partition: sequence of consecutive sectors

Each partition can be "raw" (e.g., swap partition), or "cooked," containing its own file system

A bootable partition starts with a "boot block"

Contains a small program

Called a **bootloader**, this "boot program" reads in an OS from the file system in that partition

Booting the system

OS Boot — the legacy way

BIOS (Basic Input Output System) reads MBR, then reads & execs a boot block in the bootable partition

OS Boot — the modern way

UEFI (Unified Extensible Firmware Interface) instead of BIOS

Active partition is no longer needed

Uses the GPT (GUID Partition Table) partitioning scheme, rather than MBR, which only works with drives up to 2TB and is no longer needed

Can boot from drives 2.2TB or larger

Developed in C, rather than assembly

64-bit support and faster boot times

Supports secure boot

An Example Disk

A "cooked" partition with a file system

of blocks, size of data blocks, free-block count, free-FCB count

"Files" — bytes vs. disk sectors

Files are sequences of bytes

Granularity of file I/O is bytes

Disks are arrays of sectors (512 bytes)

- Granularity of disk I/O is sectors
- File data must be stored in sectors

A file system defines a block size

block size $= 2n *$ sector size

Contiguous sectors are allocated to a block

File systems' view of the disk partition

File systems view the disk partition as an array of blocks

It needs to allocate blocks to file It also needs to manage free space on disk

But how?

Objective:

Disk space utilized efficiently

Files can be accessed quickly

Try 1: Contiguous Allocation

Idea:

All blocks in a file are contiguous on the disk

Advantages —

Simple to implement (only needs starting block & length of file) Good performance (for sequential reading)

Disadvantages —

After deletions, disk becomes fragmented — external fragmentation

Will need periodic compaction — time-consuming

If new file is placed at end of disk

No problem

If new file is placed into a "hole"

Must know a file's maximum possible size, at the time it is created!

What is it good for, then? Good for CD-ROMs and DVDs

All file sizes are known in advance

Files are never deleted

UDF (Universal Disk Format)

Uses 30 bits to represent the length of a file

Accommodates up to 1GB

For DVD movies, 4 1-GB files may be necessary

Called extents

A good idea to use extents for file systems? — Veritas FS

Try 2: Linked List Allocation

Each file is a sequence of blocks

First word in each block contains a pointer to the next block

Linked List Allocation

Advantages —

No external fragmentation

The size of the file need not be declared when the file is created

Disadvantages —

Can only be used for sequential access: random access is slow

Space required by pointers: overhead and creates inconvenience of peculiar sizes per block

mitigated by using clusters of blocks as unit

but the use of clusters increases internal fragmentation

Reliability: a damaged block leads to a bad pointer

Variation: File Allocation Table (FAT)

- **Keep a table at the beginning of disk volume and in memory**
- **One entry per block on the disk**
- **Each entry contains the address of the "next" block**
	- "End of file" marker is -1
- **A special value (0) indicates that the block is free Used in MS-DOS and IBM OS/2**

File Allocation Table (FAT)

Random access

Search the linked list (but all in memory)

Directory entry needs only one number

The starting block number

Disadvantage —

Entire table must be in memory all at once!

Example:

 20 GB = disk size

 1 KB = block size

4 bytes = FAT entry size

80 MB of memory used just to store the FAT!

The file system designer's dilemma

If we don't cache the file allocation table, random access is slow If we do cache it, we don't have enough memory!

But why do we need to cache the entire file allocation table?

Can we cache only parts of the file allocation table, corresponding to the files that are declared "open" by the user programs?

We need to add "open" and "close" to the system-call interface for the applications to "open" a file

Then we can work only with "open" files!

Idea: Bring all the pointers together into one location: the index block

Each file has its own index block: an array of diskblock addresses

The ith entry points to the ith block of the file The directory contains the address of the index block of the file

Indexed allocation

inodes in UNIX is the index block

Each inode ("index-node") contains

file attributes (permissions, timestamps, owner) the index block

inodes in UNIX is the index block

But what if we have a large file?

If we increase the size of the index block, all files (including small files) will use the new size for theirs

Solution: **multi-level indexing**

Example inode in the Linux ext2 file system

Implementing Directories with Linear List

But finding a file requires a linear search — expensive!

Searching for a File with a Path

We wish to search for a file /usr/bin/blitz

- Locates the root directory (inode 2)
- Looks up the string "usr" in the root directory for the inode number of the /usr directory
- The inode of the /usr directory is fetched, string "bin" searched
- The inode of the /usr/bin directory is used to look up "blitz" for its inode number

How do we improve its performance?

Caching all results of previous searches Try to find a match for subsequent searches

Sharing Files

One file appears in several directories Tree → **DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph)**

Hard Links and Symbolic Links

In Unix —

Hard links

Both directory entries point to the same inode Symbolic links

One directory entry points to the file's inode Other directory entries contains the "path"

Hard Links

Assume inode number of "n" is 45

Hard Links

Assume inode number of "n" is 45

Symbolic Links

Assume inode number of "n" is 45

Deleting a File

Directory entry is removed from directory All blocks in file are returned to the free list What about sharing?

Multiple links to one file (in Unix)

Hard Links

Put a "reference count" field in each inode

Counts the number of directories that point to the file

When removing file from directory, decrement the count

When count goes to zero, reclaim all blocks in the file

Symbolic Link

Remove the actual file (normal file deletion)

Symbolic link becomes "broken"

Opening a file using the open() system call

- **The open() call passes a file name to the file system**
- **It first searches the system-wide open-file table to see if the file is already in use by another process**
- **If it is, a per-process open-file table entry is created, pointing to the existing system-wide open-file table**

If not, the directory structure is searched for the given file name

Parts of the directory structure are cached in memory to improve performance

Once found, the File Control Block (inode in UNIX) is copied into a system-wide open-file table in memory

This table not only stores the FCB, but also tracks the number of processes that have the file open

An entry is then made in the per-process open-file table, with a pointer to the entry in the system-wide open-file table, and some other fields

A pointer to the current location in the file — for next read() or write()

the access mode in which the file is open (read-only or writable)

The open() call returns an index to the corresponding entry in the per-process open-file table

called a file descriptor in UNIX and BLITZ called a file handle in Windows

This index will be used for subsequent read(), write(), seek(), and close() system calls

User-level processes must not be allowed to use pointers into kernel memory and cannot be allowed to touch kernel data structures

class FileManager

 superclass Object fields fileManagerLock: Mutex **fcbTable**: array [MAX_NUMBER_OF_FILE_CONTROL_BLOCKS] of **FileControlBlock** anFCBBecameFree: Condition fcbFreeList: List [FileControlBlock] **openFileTable**: array [MAX_NUMBER_OF_OPEN_FILES] of **OpenFile** anOpenFileBecameFree: Condition openFileFreeList: List [OpenFile]

...

The File Control Block in BLITZ

class FileControlBlock superclass Listable fields fcbID: int **numberOfUsers**: int -- count of OpenFiles pointing **startingSectorOfFile**: int **sizeOfFileInBytes**: int bufferPtr: int relativeSectorInBuffer: int bufferIsDirty: bool

The OpenFile structure in BLITZ: Current position

```
class OpenFile superclass Listable
fields
 kind: int 
 currentPos: int
 fcb: ptr to FileControlBlock
numberOfUsers: int -- count of Processes pointing
```
class ProcessControlBlock superclass Listable fields

 ... **fileDescriptor**: array [MAX_FILES_PER_PROCESS] of ptr to **OpenFile**

Why do we need to allow multiple PCBs to point to the same OpenFile?

When a process is cloned with the fork() system call, all open files in the parent process must be shared with the child process, with the current position also shared

We now needs reference counting in OpenFile, similar to FCB

When the reference count goes to zero, return the OpenFile to the free pool, and decrement the reference count in the corresponding FCB

Managing free blocks

A bitmap: 1 = used, 0 = free

1.3 GB disk partition, 512-byte blocks: over 332 KB to contain the bitmap — performance is only satisfactory if it is cached

1 TB disk with 4-KB blocks: 32 MB required!

A linked list of disk block numbers

Use a disk block to contain all the free block numbers

Use one block number in the disk block to point to the next disk block

1 KB block size, 32 bit block numbers: 255 free blocks

500 GB disk partition: 488 million blocks in total, 1.9 million blocks required to contain free block numbers!

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

Counting

Usually, several contiguous blocks may be allocated or freed simultaneously

Idea: rather than keeping a list of n free disk addresses, we can keep the address of the first free block, and the number (n) of free contiguous blocks that follow the first block

Each entry in the free-space list consists of a disk address and a count

Used in Sun's ZFS

Creating and Deleting Files

Only needs to maintain one block of bitmaps in the main memory (if bitmap is used)

A natural advantage is to be able to allocate free blocks contiguously when a file is created

Only needs to maintain one block of free disk blocks in the main memory (if linked list is used)

When a file is created, needed blocks are taken from the inmemory block of free disk blocks

When it runs out, a new block of pointers is read in from the disk

When a file is deleted, its blocks are added to the block, and later written to disk when it is full

Improving File System Performance

Problem: Disk operations are slow!

Solution: The buffer cache

- Upon a read() system call, first check if the needed block is in the cache
- If not, it is first read into the cache, and then copied to wherever it is needed
- Subsequent requests to the same block can be satisfied without disk access

Needs a cache replacement algorithm when the buffer cache is full: LRU is a good choice

Synchronous vs. asynchronous write

Write back (asynchronous write)

- data are stored in the buffer cache and written back to the disk at a later time asynchronously
- Unix: update daemon uses the sync system call forces all modified blocks to the disk every 30 seconds

Write through (synchronous write)

writes are not buffered (only reads are buffered)

Three Easy Pieces: Chapter 37.1-37.3 (Hard Disk Drives), Chapter 39 (Files and Directories), 40 (File System Implementation)