

Providing Extraordinary Care





Multiple Myeloma: Relapsed and Refractory

David H. Vesole, MD, PhD, FACP Director, Myeloma Program Professor of Medicine

Georgetown University Co-Division Chief, Director of Research Multiple Myeloma Division John Theurer Cancer Center Hackensack University Medical Center david.Vesole@hackensackmeridian.org

Myeloma treatment paradigm





Case 1

- A 65-yr-old male with ISS stage 1, standard risk MM received Velcade, Revlimid, dexamethasone induction therapy for 4 cycles followed by transplant. He declined lenalidomide maintenance treatment and was in CR for 2 yrs
- He now presents with M protein of 0.6 g/dL and no anemia or other abnormalities on skeletal survey
- Hb is 14 g/dL, UPEP is negative, serum free light chain ratio is 2:1, and creatinine and calcium levels are normal
- 3 mos later, repeat testing shows M protein of 0.8 g/dL
- 6 mos later, M protein is 0.9 g/dL with no changes in the other laboratory values

What would you do now?

- A. Re-treat the patient
- B. Observe the patient
- C. I don't know

When to Consider Retreatment

- Differences between biochemical relapse and symptomatic relapse need to be considered
- Patients with asymptomatic rise in M protein can be observed to determine the rate of rise and nature of the relapse

Caveat: patients with known aggressive or high-risk disease should be considered for salvage even in the setting of biochemical relapse

 CRAB criteria are still listed as the indication to treat in the relapse setting

C: Calcium elevation (> 11.5 mg/L or ULN)

R: Renal dysfunction (serum creatinine > 2 mg/dL)

- A: Anemia (Hb < 10 g/dL or 2 g < normal)
- B: Bone disease (lytic lesions or osteoporosis)



Case 2

- A 65-yr-old female presents with ISS stage 2 MM. She is treated with RVD (Revlimid, Velcade, Dex) followed by autologous transplant. Posttransplantation, she achieves a VGPR and is started on Revlimid maintenance therapy
- After 2 yrs, she progresses on Revlimid maintenance therapy.
 She has no neuropathy
- M protein is 1.2 g/dL, Hb is 9.3 g/dL, calcium is normal, serum free light chain ratio is 6:1, and IgG is 2900 mg/dL
- Skeletal survey shows new lytic disease. UPEP is negative, bone marrow shows 10% to 20% plasma cells with normal cytogenetics

What would you do now?

- A. Re-treat the patient
- B. Observe the patient
- C. I don't know

What treatment would you choose?

- A. Revlimid-based
- B. Velcade-based
- C. Velcade/Revlimid/dexamethasone (VRD)
- D. Darzalex-based
- E. Kyprolis-based
- F. Empliciti-based

Post-HCT: Patterns of Relapse¹



1. Gonsalves WI et al. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2016;51:1156-1158.

PeerView.com

What is relapsed/refractory disease?

- *Relapsed:* recurrence after a response to therapy
- *Refractory:* progression despite ongoing therapy



Restarting Therapy and Prognostic Factors in Relapsed Myeloma¹⁻³

No prospective studies; patients can have an indolent course



1. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Multiple Myeloma. V.3.2018.

https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/myeloma.pdf. Accessed February 21, 2018.

2. Sonneveld P, Broijl A. Haematologica. 2016;101:396-406. 3. Original slide courtesy of Shaji Kumar, MD.

PeerView.com

Choosing Therapy for Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma

- What do we know about the patient's myeloma?
 - What prior therapy has been used?
 - How well did it work?
 - Did the myeloma progress on active therapy?
 - High-risk cytogenetics/FISH/GEP?
- What do we know about the patient?
 - Age
 - Other medical problems
 - Diabetes
 - Blood clots
 - Lasting side effects from past therapies
 - Peripheral neuropathy
 - Personal preferences and values



Evolution of Multiple Myeloma Treatment: 11 New Drugs Approved in ≤15 Years

Conventional Therapy



Novel Therapy

VAD, vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone; IMiD, immunomodulatory drug; HDAC, histone deacetylase.

Ē

Factors to Consider in Treatment Selection

DISEASE-RELATED

- DOR to initial therapy
- FISH/cytogenetics/genomics profile

PRIOR TREATMENT-RELATED

- Prior drug exposure
- Toxicity of regimen
- Mode of administration
- Previous SCT

PATIENT-RELATED

- Pre-existing toxicity
- Presence of other conditions
- Age
- General health
- Personal lifestyle and preferences

DOR, duration of response; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; SCT, stem cell transplant Lonial S. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2010;303.



Ē

Continuing Evolution of Multiple Myeloma Treatment: New Classes and Targets

Novel Therapies and Immunotherapy



PLD, peglylated liposomal doxorubicin; IMiD, immunomodulatory drug; HDAC, histone deacetylase; KSP, kinesin spindle protein, SINE, selective inhibitor of nuclear export *Not yet FDA-approved; only available in clinical trials [†]Treatments studied in MMRC trials [‡]FDA-approved for a non-MM indication



Options for Relapsed/Refractory Disease Continue to Increase



How to Choose From Treatment Options for Relapsed and Refractory Myeloma



*NCCN category 1 recommendations Nooka AK et al. *Blood*. 2015;125:3085.



Available Anti-Myeloma Agents: So Many Choices!

| IMiDs | Proteasom e Inhibitors | Chemotherap y Anthracycline s | Chemotherap y Alkylators | Steroids | HDAC Inhibitors | mAbs |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Thalomid (thalidomide) | Velcade (bortezomib) | Adriamycin | Cytoxan (cyclophosphami de) | Dexa- methasone | Farydak (panobinost at) | Empliciti (elotuzumab) |
| Revlimid (lenalidomide) | Kyprolis (carfilzomib) | Doxil (liposomal doxorubicin) | Bendamustine | Prednisone | Zolinza (vorinostat) | Darzalex (daratumuma b) |
| Pomalyst (pomalidomid e) | Ninlaro (ixazomib) New for | rmulations, new c | Melphalan Josing, and new c | combination | s, too! | |



Possible Anti-Myeloma Regimens: So Many Choices!

| Pomalyst (pomalidomide) | Kyprolis (carfilzomib) | Darzalex (daratumumab) | Empliciti (elotuzumab) | Ninlaro (ixazomib) | Farydak (panobinostat) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Dara Pom D | KD | Dara | Elo RD | Ixa | Pano VD |
| Car Pom D | KRD | Dara Pom | Elo PomD | lxa Dex | Car Pano Dex |
| Ixa Pom Dex | K Cy Dex | Dara Len | Elo BortD | IRD | Len Pano |
| Bort Pom Dex | K Dara Dex | Dara Bort | | lxa Pom Dex | |
| Elo Pom Dex | Car Pano | Dara Carfil | | | |
| Pom Cy Dex | | | | | |

Dara, Darzalex (daratumumab); Pom, Pomalyst (pomalidomide); Car/K/Carfil, Kyprolis (carfilzomib); Ixa/I, Ninlaro (ixazomib); Bort/V, Velcade (bortezomib); Elo, Empliciti (elotuzumab); Dex/D, dexamethasone; R/Len, Revlimide (lenalidomide); Cy, cyclophosphamide; Pano, Farydak (panobinostat).

Therapy for relapsed disease



Clinical Trials



How do clinical trials work?

Phase I investigates for safety and side effects, dosage and best way to give treatment-includes 20 or more people

> Phase II determines effectiveness and safety-typically includes fewer than 100 (may include up to 300) people

> > Phase III looks at effectiveness, side effects and safety in comparison with other treatments-includes 100s to 1000s of people

> > > Phase IV gathers more information after FDA approval & drug is on market



Placebos are rarely used in cancer clinical trials and only in the context of another active drug



Clinical trials

- Are an important option for everyone
- Can be for people newly diagnosed, with limited disease or advanced disease
- Are appropriate for people of different age, gender, and race, depending on the purpose and phase of the study
- Take into account all the above factors as well as stage of disease, other treatments used and presence of any other illness

Remember...communication with your healthcare team is important in making treatment decisions about standard treatment or clinical trial treatment

Why Do So Few Cancer Patients Participate in Clinical Trials?

Patients may:

- Be unaware of clinical trials
- Lack access to trials
- Fear, distrust, or be suspicious of research
- Have practical or personal obstacles
- Face insurance or cost problems
- Be unwilling to go against their physicians' wishes

Benefits of Clinical Trials

- You will have normal standard of care in terms of office visits, lab work, etc
- You may even have additional care and investigation as a part of the clinical trial
- You will generally see your health care providers and will also have a research coordinator involved in your care
- You will likely even have a higher standard of care than normal!





Questions That Can be Addressed by Conducting Clinical Trials



Should patients with smoldering multiple myeloma be treated?

What is the best treatment for newly diagnosed (untreated) multiple myeloma?

What are the best drugs and combinations of drugs for relapsed/ refractory multiple myeloma?

How can treatments be matched to patients' subtypes/genomics (personalized medicine)?



Impact of Clinical Trials in Myeloma: Dramatic Improvements in Survival in <10 Years

Survival rates have nearly doubled; further improvements expected in near future.

11 new drugs approved since 2003.

Many new drugs being studied in clinical trials.

Understanding of the biology of myeloma improving, with the eventual goal of personalized medicine.

Ē

How do I find a clinical trial?

Ask your treating hematologist/oncologist about any available trials

Check with any academic medical centers close to your home

The National Cancer Institute (www.cancer.gov)

The IMF/MMRF/LLS

Ē

New Agents in Myeloma Therapy

| New IMiDs | Oral proteasomes | Kinase inhibitors | Novel MOA | HDAC inhibitors | Immuno-therapies |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Lenalidomide Pomalidomide CC-122 CC-220 | Bortezomib Carfilzomib Ixazomib Oprozomib Marizomib | Vemurafenib Afuresertib Dinaciclib Ibrutinib Trametinib Dabrafenib JNJ-42756493 Sotatercept CB-5083 | Venetoclax Selinexor Filanesib Idasanutlin | Panobinostat Ricolinostat | Monoclonal antibodies Daratumumab Elotuzumab Isatuximab Antibody-drug conjugates Immune cell therapy CAR T BiTES Vaccines Immune checkpoint inhibitors Durvalumab |

IMiD, immunomodulatory drug; HDAC, histone deacetylase inhibitor, MOA mechanism of action, BiTE, bispecific T-cell engager; CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells

New Drug in a New Class: Selinexor



- Exportin 1 (XPO1) is the nuclear exporter for the majority of tumor suppressor proteins (and also steroid receptor) that put the brakes on MM growth
- Selinexor* is a first-inclass XPO1 inhibitor

Efficacy of Selinexor in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Selinexor + Dexamethasone

- 48 pts refractory to REV, POM, V, K (Quad)
- 31 pts refractory to above + anti-CD38 mAbs (Penta)

| Safety, n (%) Gr 3/4 (≥10%) | All patients |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Thrombocytope | 58 |
| nia | 21 |
| Neutropenia | 25 |
| Anemia | 14 |
| Fatigue | 20 |
| Hyponatremia | |

| Efficacy | All | Quad | Penta |
|----------|-----|------|-------|
| ORR | 21% | 21% | 20% |
| | | | |

| Efficacy | ORR, n (%) |
|---------------|------------|
| Standard risk | 4 (17) |
| High risk* | 6 (35) |

*Includes patients with: del(17p), t(14;16), or t(4;14)

The combination of selinexor and dexamethasone has an overall response rate of 21% in patients with heavily pretreated, refractory myeloma with limited therapeutic options.

New Drug in a New Class: Venetoclax

- Bcl-2 inhibitor; targets myeloma growth and proliferation
- Approximately 15% of myeloma patients have t(11;14) which is the primary target of the Bcl-2 inhibitor



Efficacy of Venetoclax in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Venetoclax Monotherapy



ORR by t(11;14) Status

Median TTP: t(11;14) 6.6 mos vs 1.9 mos without t(11;14)

Efficacy of Venetoclax in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Venetoclax + Velcade + Dexamethasone

Objective Responses Rates for Patients with R/R MM



F

Efficacy of Venetoclax in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Carfilzomib + Venetoclax



Drugs in Development: Phase 1–2 Trials

Small-Molecule Inhibitors

- AT7519M
- BMS 833923
- CB-5083
- CC-220 Dabrafenib
- Dinaciclib
- Filanesib
- Ganetespib
- Ibrutinib
- Idasanutlin

- JNJ-42756493
- KPT-8602
- KW-2478
- Linsitinib
- Marizomib
- Nelfinavir
- Quisinostat
- Ricolinostat
- Ruxolitinib

- Selinexor
- Selumetinib
- Sonidegib
- Sotatercept
- TH-302
- Tivantinib
- Trametinib
- Veliparib
- VLX1570

Monoclonal Antibodies

- ABBV-838
- Atezolizumab
- DFRF4539A
- Durvalumab
- Indatuximab

- Lorvotuzumab mertansine
- Milatuzumab
- MOR03087
- Tabalumab
- Ulocuplumab

Bold = treatments studied in MMRC trials

• Oprozomib

Main Targets for Immunotherapy



Monoclonal Antibody: Darzalex (daratumumab)

Current Indications

- For newly diagnosed myeloma patients who are ineligible for autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT), in combination with Velcade, melphalan, and prednisone
- For relapsed/refractory myeloma alone or in combination with Revlimid and dexamethasone, or Velcade and dexamethasone, or Pomalyst and dexamethasone

How is Darzalex administered?

- Intravenously
- Once a week for the first 8 weeks then every 2 weeks for 4 months then monthly
- Pre- and post-medication for infusion reactions
- Future SC administration may decrease infusion reactions and infusion time

What are the possible side effects?

- Infusion reactions 40%
- Fatigue
- Upper respiratory tract infection

POLLUX and CASTOR Study Designs^{1,2}

Open-label, multicenter, randomized (1:1), active-controlled, phase 3 studies in RRMM patients with ≥1 prior line of therapy



PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Presented by: Katja Weisel



POLLUX: 1-Year Update^a

• Median follow-up of 25.4 months



ITT, intent-to-treat, PFS, progression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. *Kaplan-Meier estimates; exploratory analyses based on 1-year update: clinical cut-off date of March 7, 2017. 1. Bahlis NZ, et al. Poster presentation at ASCO 2017. Abstract 8025.

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17 Slides are the property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

Presented by: Katja Weisel

3

Presented By Katja Weisel at 2017 ASCO Annual Meeting

CASTOR: 1-Year Update^a

• Median follow-up of 19.4 months



Adding daratumumab to SOC regimens significantly prolongs PFS

SOC, standard of care.

«Kaplan-Meierestimates; exploratory analyses based on 1-year update: clinical cut-off date of January 11, 2017. 1. Lentzsch S, et al. Poster presentation at ASCO 2017. Abstract 8036.

PRESENTED AT: ASCO ANNUAL MEETING '17 #ASCO17

Presented by: Katja Weisel

Presented By Katja Weisel at 2017 ASCO Annual Meeting

Ē

Monoclonal Antibody: Empliciti (elotuzumab)

Current Indications

 For relapsed/refractory myeloma in combination with Revlimid or Pomalyst and dexamethasone

How is Empliciti administered?

- Intravenously
- Once a week for the first 8 weeks then every 2 or 4 weeks
- Premedication in anticipation of infusion reactions

What are the possible side effects?

- Fatigue
- Diarrhea
- Fever
- Constipation
- Cough
- Peripheral neuropathy
- Infusion reactions
- Nasopharyngitis
- Upper respiratory tract infection
- Decreased appetite
- Pneumonia
- Small chance of second new cancer

Efficacy of Empliciti in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Empliciti + Revlimid + Dexamethasone



PFS benefit seen with elotuzumab in all predefined subgroups

- Compared to Revlimid and dexamethasone alone, the addition of elotuzumab significantly increased
 - Progression-free survival
 - Overall response rates
- The triple combination resulted in a 30% reduction in the risk of disease progression or death
- Another phase 3 trial comparing the same combinations is under way in patients with newly diagnosed disease

Efficacy of Empliciti in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Empliciti + Revlimid + Dexamethasone Extended Four-Year Follow-Up Data



HR, hazard ratio ELOQUENT-2 Trial Dimopoulos MA et al. *Cancer*. 2018;124:4032.

Efficacy of Empliciti in Relapsed/Refractory Myeloma: Empliciti + Pomalyst + Dexamethasone



46% reduction in the risk of progression or death with EPd

Median PFS was more than twice as long with EPd vs Pd

ITT, intent-to-treat; NE, not estimable ELOQUENT-3 Dimopoulos MA et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379:1811

Types of Monoclonal Antibodies



isotope is attached

Bispecific Antibodies



- Clinical trials
- Several ongoing trials
- Too early for data results
- Some of the molecules and targets
 - GBR1342-101 (CD38 × CD3)¹
 - PF-06863135 (BCMA \times CD3)²
 - JNJ-64407564 (GPRC5D \times CD3)³
 - GO39775 (FcRH5 \times CD3)⁴
 - JNJ-644007957 (BCMA × CD3)⁵
 - CC-93269 (BCMA × CD3)⁶

BiTE, bispecific T-cell engager

- 1. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03309111.
- 2. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03269136.
- 3. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03399799

4. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03275103.

5. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03145181.

6. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03486067.

BiTEs to Watch

AMG 420^{[1]*}

- Binds to the CD3 molecule on T cells and the BCMA molecule on myeloma cells
- Phase 1 clinical trial results
 - 42 relapsed myeloma patients
 - 70% of patients responded
 - Therapy was associated with infections
- This drug will continue its clinical development in 2019

AMG 701^{[2]*}

- Similar to AMG 420 but has an extended half-life (longer time in the bloodstream)
- Preclinical analysis
 - Kills MM cells (and is enhanced by Revlimid)
 - Promotes the activation of T cells

Others

BCMA targets

- BI 836909[†]
- PF-06863135[‡]
- CC-93269¶

Other targets

- Blinatumomab (CD19)*
- GBR1342-101 (CD38)§
- JNJ-64407564 (GPRC5D)**
- BFCR4350A (FcRH5)**

New Monoclonal Antibody: Antibody-Drug Conjugate (ADC)

Antibody-delivery of toxic payload



- 35 patients with relapsed/ refractory MM (many who had previously received more than 5 different regimens) were treated with GSK2857916 via an intravenous (IV) infusion
- Results from the trial revealed that 60% of patients had a response
- The most commonly occurring side effects were corneal events (such as blurred vision, dry eye) and low platelet counts

Investigational agent; not yet approved by the FDA Trudel S et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19:1641.

Ē

Immune Cell Therapy



Normal T Cells vs CAR T Cells



 Needs a jump start to target and kill myeloma cells Engineered T cell with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)



 Homing beacon built in to target and kill myeloma cells

The CAR-T therapy Process



Lekha Mikkilineni, and James N. Kochenderfer Blood 2017;130:2594-2602

BOOSTING OUR OWN CANCER-KILLING CELLS

White blood cells known as T-cells protect the body from disease and infection. CAR T-cell therapy gives T-cells the power to fight cancer.

1 Collection

T-cells are collected from patient's blood and sent to the lab. This is the same basic process as when a person donates blood.



2 Conversion

In the lab, T-cells are changed genetically so that they grow chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) on their surfaces, turning them into CAR T-cells that can find and kill cancer cells.



3 Replication CAR T-cells are expanded in the lab.



4 Infusion

Patient receives infusion of CAR T-cells.



5 Expansion

The number of CAR T-cells continues to expand in the patient as they seek out and contact their target cancer cells.

6 Cancer cells destroyed

Cancer

CAR T-cells in the patient destroy the cancer cells, and remain on alert for many months for any cancer cells that may have initially escaped.



BCMA-Directed CAR T Cells in Multiple Myeloma

| | NCI ¹ | PENN ² | BB2121 BLUEBIRD ³ | LCAR-B38M LEGEND ⁴ | MCARH171 MSK/JUNO⁵ |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Population | 26 (16*) | 24 (19*) | 21 (18*) | 35 (30*) | 6 |
| # Prior Tx | 10 | 7 | 7 | 3–4 | 7.5 |
| Efficacy | | | | | |
| ORR | 81%* | 53%* | 94%* | 100% | NR |
| CR | 18% | | 56% | 63% (sCR) | NR |
| Toxicity | | | | | |
| CRS | 81% | 83% | 71% | 83% | 50% |
| CRS (Gr 3/4) | 37% | 33% | 10% | 5.7% | None |
| Neurotoxicity (all grades) | 19% | 25% | 24% | None | None |

*Responses at therapeutic CAR T dose levels

1. Ali et al. *Blood*. 2016;128:1688. 2. Cohen AD et al. *Blood*. 2017;130: Abstract 505. 3. Berdeja JG et al. 2017;130: Abstract 740. 4. Zhang W et al. *Haematologica*. 2017;102: Abstract S103.5. Smith EL et al. *Blood*. 2017;130: Abstract 742.

CAR T-Cell Therapy Future Directions

| Race to FDA approval | Improving | Improving | Improving |
|--|---|--|--|
| | efficacy | safety | access |
| Global pivotal phase 2 trial (KarMMa) is open for enrollment bb2121 dose range: 150–450 × 10⁶ CAR+ T cells 9 sites in US and 10 in Europe Legend/Janssen soon to start pivotal trial of LCAR-B38M Others not far behind | Understand why CAR T cells fail or stop working Next-generation or "armored" CAR T cells | Identify correlates to predict and reduce rates of cytokine release syndrome and neurotoxicity Safety switches to induce suicide or eliminate CAR T cells | Allogeneic off-the- shelf CAR T cells CAR T-cell therapy for other stages of disease, new disease targets |



Everyone is excited about CAR T, but this is a strategy that is still very toxic and of very limited availability.

We still don't know the long-term outcome for CAR T.

What are the best targets? How do we identify them?

Antibody drug conjugates are very exciting; a number are already in clinical trials.